Court file number: CV-22-88630

# ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

#### KANATA GREENSPACE PROTECTION COALITION and BARBARA RAMSAY

Applicants/Responding Parties

- and -

## **CLUBLINK CORPORATION ULC**

Respondent/Moving party

# MOTION RECORD OF THE APPLICANTS/RESPONDING PARTIES, KANATA GREENSPACE PROTECTION COALITION and BARBARA RAMSAY

May 11, 2023

**GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP** 2600-160 Elgin Street Ottawa, Ontario K1P 1C3

Alyssa Tomkins (LSO# 54675D) Alyssa.tomkins@gowlingwlg.com T: 613-786-0078

DAVID | SAUVÉ S.R.L./LLP 300-116 Lisgar Street Ottawa, Ontario K2P 0C2

Charles R. Daoust (LSO# 74259H) Charles@davidsauve.ca

T :343-655-0034

Lawyers for the applicants/responding parties, KGPC and Barbara Ramsay

TO: LAX O'SULLIVAN LISUS GOTTLIEB LLP

Suite 2750, 145 King Street West Toronto ON M5H 1J8

Matthew P. Gottlieb LSO#: 32268B mgottlieb@lolg.ca Tel: 416 644 5353

Crawford G. Smith LSO#: 42131S csmith@lolg.ca Tel: 416 598 8648

#### John Carlo Mastrangelo LSO#: 76002P jmastrangelo@lolg.ca Tel: 416 956 0101

#### DAVIES HOWE LLP

The Tenth Floor 425 Adelaide Street West Toronto ON M5V 3C1

Mark R. Flowers LSO#: 43921B markf@davieshowe.com Tel: 416 263 4513

Lawyers for the Respondent/Moving Party, ClubLink Corporation ULC

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>TAB</u>	DOCUMENT	PAGE
Evidence		
1	Affidavit of Hannah Stokes sworn May 11, 2023	004
A	Bill of costs of the respondent, Clublink Corporation ULC dated March 19, 2021 in court file number CV-19-81809	007
В	Bill of costs of the intervenor, Kanata Greenspace Protection dated April 15, 2021 Coalition in court file number CV-19-81809	015
С	Costs decision of Labrosse J. dated June 16, 2021 in court file number CV-19-81809	020
D	Parcel register for 7 Nelford Court, Kanata, Ontario, K2K 2L8	027

Court file number: CV-22-88630

# ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

## KANATA GREENSPACE PROTECTION COALITION and BARBARA RAMSAY

Applicants/Responding Parties

- and -

### **CLUBLINK CORPORATION ULC**

Respondent/Moving party

## **AFFIDAVIT OF HANNAH STOKES**

(Sworn May 11, 2023)

I, **Hannah Stokes**, of the City of Ottawa, in the Province of Ontario, MAKE OATH AND SAY:

1. I am a law clerk at David | Sauvé s.r.I./LLP, co-counsel for the applicants, the Kanata Greenspace Protection Coalition (the "Coalition") and Barbara Ramsay. As such, I have knowledge of the matters contained in this affidavit. Where I do not have first-hand knowledge, I state the source of my information and believe it to be true.

2. I make this affidavit in support of the applicants' response to the respondent ClubLink Corporations ULC's ("Clublink") motion in this matter and for no other improper purpose.

#### Justice Labrosse's Costs Decision

**3.** Following Mr. Justice M. Labrosse's decision of February 19, 2021 with respect to the City of Ottawa's application in the proceeding bearing Court file number CV-19-81809, Labrosse J. invited the parties to make costs submissions. I attach Clublink's bill of costs dated March 19,

2021 as **Exhibit "A"** to this affidavit and the Coalition's bill of costs dated April 15, 2021 as **Exhibit "B"**.

4. Labrosse J. released his costs decision on June 16, 2021. I attach his endorsement as **Exhibit "C"** to this affidavit.

#### The Applicant Barbara Ramsay

5. The co-applicant in this matter, Ms. Barbara Ramsay, informs me, and I verily believe, that she is a resident of Ontario and the owner of the property known municipally as 7 Nelford Court, Kanata, Ontario K2K 2L8 (the "property"), which is adjacent to the Kanata Golf & Country Club owned and operated by Clublink. I attach the parcel register for the property as **Exhibit "D"** to this affidavit.

6. Ms. Ramsay informs me, and I verily believe, that upon her husband David Michael "Mike" Sheppard's passing on January 18, 2023, she became the sole owner of the property as her late husband's estate's sole beneficiary.

*Sworn* before me: ⊠ in person OR □ by video conference at the City of Ottawa, in the Province of Ontario, on May 11, 2023.

Commissioner for Taking Affidavits (etc.)

Charles R. Daoust (LSO# 74259H)

Hannah Stokes

This is **Exhibit "A"** to the Affidavit of Hannah Stokes sworn before me this 11<sup>th</sup> day of May 2023.

Charles R. Daoust (LSO# 74259H)

Court File No. 19-81809

#### ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

#### CITY OF OTTAWA

Applicant

and

#### CLUBLINK CORPORATION ULC

Respondent

## BILL OF COSTS OF THE RESPONDENT, CLUBLINK CORPORATION ULC (Partial and Substantial Indemnity)

#### **PART I - FEES**

A Claim for fees is being made with respect to the following professionals:

<u>Name of Lawyer</u> Matthew P. Gottlieb Mark Flowers James Renihan John Carlo Mastrangelo Year Called to the Bar 1991 2001 2009 2018

#### **1. Preliminary and Procedural Matters**

Legal research and preparation of the Respondent's application materials, including notice of appearance, responding application record, factum, compendium and book of authorities; receipt and review of the Applicant's application materials, including notice of application, applicant record, supplementary affidavits of E. Adams-Wright and D. Moodie, factum, reply factum and compendium; receipt and review of the Intervener's application materials, including application record, factum, reply factum, compendium and book of authorities; preparation of case conference memorandum and attend to case conference re scheduling of application; attend to necessary

document production; attend to Coalition motion re fresh evidence; attend to all necessary meetings, correspondence and telephone attendances.

Lawyer	Hourly Rate	Hours	Partial Indemnity	Substantial Indemnity	Actual Amount
M. Gottlieb	\$985.00	97.80	\$57,799.80	\$86,699.70	\$96,333.00
M. Flowers	\$640.00	63.40	\$24,345.60	\$36,518.40	\$40,576.00
	\$650.00	40.90	\$15,951.00	\$23,926.50	\$26,585.00
J. Renihan	\$650.00	64.80	\$25,272.00	\$37,908.00	\$42,120.00
	\$675.00	55.20	\$22,356.00	\$33,534.00	\$37,260.00
J. Mastrangelo	\$375.00	34.90	\$7,852.50	\$11,778.75	\$13,087.50
	\$400.00	55.80	\$13,392.00	\$20,088.00	\$22,320.00
SUBTOTAL			\$166,968.90	\$250,453.35	\$278,281.50

# 2. Cross-Examinations

Prepare for and attend the cross-examinations of D. Moodie and D. Kennedy, held January 15, 2020; attend to all necessary meetings, correspondence and telephone attendances.

Lawyer	Hourly Rate Hours		Partial Substanti Indemnity Indemnit		Actual Amount
M. Gottlieb	\$985.00	12.30	\$7,269.30	\$10,903.95	\$12,115.50
M. Flowers	\$650.00	18.50	\$7,215.00	\$10,822.50	\$12,025.00
J. Renihan	\$650.00	1.50	\$585.00	\$877.50	\$975.00
	\$675.00	17.40	\$7,047.00	\$10,570.50	\$11,745.00
SU	BTOTAL		\$22,116.30	\$33,174.45	\$36,860.50

3. Hearing Preparation									
Preparation for app	Preparation for application hearing held July 13-15, 2020								
LawyerHourly RateHoursPartial IndemnitySubstantial IndemnityActual Amount									
M. Gottlieb	\$985.00	22.60	\$13,356.60	\$20,034.90	\$22,261.00				
M. Flowers	\$650.00	12.00	\$4,680.00	\$7,020.00	\$7,800.00				
J. Renihan	\$675.00	20.80	\$8,424.00	\$12,636.00	\$14,040.00				
J. Mastrangelo \$400.00 4.50 \$1,080.00 \$1,620.00 \$1,800.00									
S	SUBTOTAL \$27,540.60 \$41,310.90 \$45,901.00								

4. Counsel Fees for Attendance at Application Hearing							
Lawyer	Hourly Rate	Hours	Partial Indemnity	Substantial Indemnity	Actual Amount \$16,745.00 \$11,050.00		
M. Gottlieb	\$985.00	17.00	\$10,047.00	\$15,070.50	\$16,745.00		
M. Flowers	\$650.00	17.00	\$6,630.00	\$9,945.00	\$11,050.00		
J. Renihan	\$675.00	17.00	\$6,885.00	\$10,327.50	\$11,475.00		
J. Mastrangelo	\$400.00	12.00	\$2,880.00	\$4,320.00	\$4,800.00		
S	SUBTOTAL		\$26,442.00	\$39,663.00	\$44,070.00		

5. Preparation of Bill of Costs							
Lawyer	Hourly Rate	Hours	Partial Indemnity	Substantial Indemnity	Actual Amount		
Ashley McKnight (Law Clerk)	\$280.00	3.00	\$504.00	\$756.00	\$840.00		
SUBTOTAL \$504.00 \$756.00 \$840.00							

	Partial Indemnity	Substantial Indemnity	Actual Amount
SUBTOTAL – FEES	\$243,571.80	\$365,357.70	\$405,953.00
HST	\$31,664.33	\$47,496.50	\$52,773.89
TOTAL FEES AND 13% HST	\$275,236.13	\$412,854.20	\$458,726.89

# PART II – DISBURSEMENTS

<u>Non-taxable</u>	
Nil	\$0.00
Taxable	
Agency Fees - Conway Baxter Wilson LLP	\$767.13
Agency Fees - Norton Rose Fulbright Canada LLP	\$20.00
Courier	\$183.33
Court Report/Transcripts	\$2,781.40

TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS AND HST	\$12,709.58
NON-TAXABLE AMOUNT	\$0.00
HST	\$1,462.16
SUBTOTAL – TAXABLE DISBURSEMENTS	\$11,247.42
Travel - Train	\$269.00
Travel - Taxis	\$276.91
Travel - Meals	\$137.83
Travel - Hotel	\$571.55
Travel - Airfare	\$4,328.54
Teleconferencing	\$261.97
Printing/Photocopies	\$1,483.25
Legal Research	\$166.51

SUMMARY	Partial	Substantial	Actual
	Indemnity	Indemnity	Amount
TOTAL FEES, DISBURSEMENTS AND HST	\$287,945.72	\$425,563.79	\$471,436.47

E. & O.E.

March 19, 2021

LAX O'SULLIVAN LISUS GOTTLIEB LLP Counsel Suite 2750, 145 King Street West Toronto ON M5H 1J8

 Matthew P. Gottlieb LSO#: 32268B

 mgottlieb@lolg.ca

 Tel:
 416 644 5353

 James Renihan LSO#: 57553U

 jrenihan@lolg.ca

 Tel:
 416 644 5344

 John Carlo Mastrangelo LSO#: 76002P

 jmastrangelo@lolg.ca

 Tel:
 416 956 0101

 Fax:
 416 598 3730

#### **DAVIES HOWE LLP**

The Tenth Floor 425 Adelaide Street West Toronto, Ontario M5V 3C1

#### Mark R. Flowers LSO# 43921B

markf@davieshowe.com Tel: 416 263 4513 Fax: 416 977 8931

Lawyers for the Respondent

TO: BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

Barristers and Solicitors 100 Queen Street Suite 1100 Ottawa ON K1P 1J9

Kirsten Crain LSO#: 44529U kcrain@blg.com Tel: 613 787 3741 Emma Blanchard LSO#: 53359S eblanchard@blg.com Tel: 613 369 4755

Lawyers for the Applicant

AND TO: CAZASAIKALEY 220 Laurier West Suite 350 Ottawa ON K1P 5Z9

> Alyssa Tomkins LSO#: 54675D atomkins@plaideurs.ca Tel: 613 564 8269 Charles R. Daoust LSO#: 74259H cdaoust@plaideurs.ca Tel: (613) 565-2292 Ext. 209

Lawyers for the Intervenor

### -and- CLUBLINK CORPORATION ULC Respondent

Court File No. 19-81809

# *ONTARIO* SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

PROCEEDING COMMENCED AT OTTAWA

## **BILL OF COSTS**

#### LAX O'SULLIVAN LISUS GOTTLIEB LLP Suite 2750, 145 King Street West Toronto ON M5H 1J8

Matthew P. Gottlieb LSO#: 32268B mgottlieb@lolg.ca Tel: 416 644 5353 James Renihan LSO#: 57553U jrenihan@lolg.ca Tel: 416 644 5344 John Carlo Mastrangelo LSO#: 76002P jmastrangelo@lolg.ca Tel: 416 956 0101

# **DAVIES HOWE LLP** The Tenth Floor 425 Adelaide Street West Toronto, Ontario M5V 3C1

## Mark R. Flowers LSO# 43921B

markf@davieshowe.com Tel: 416 263 4513 Fax: 416 977 8931

Lawyers for the Respondent

This is **Exhibit "B"** to the Affidavit of Hannah Stokes sworn before me this 11<sup>th</sup> day of May 2023.

Charles R. Daoust (LSO# 74259H)

Court File No.: 19-81809

#### ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

#### **CITY OF OTTAWA**

Applicant

- and -

## **CLUBLINK CORPORATION ULC**

Respondent

- and -

### KANATA GREENSPACE PROTECTION COALITION

Intervenor

# **BILL OF COSTS OF THE INTERVENOR**

Date: April 15th, 2021

## CAZA SAIKALEY S.R.L./LLP Lawyers | Avocats

350-220 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON K1P 5Z9

Alyssa Tomkins (LSO # 54675D) atomkins@plaideurs.ca Charles R. Daoust (LSO # 74259H) cdaoust@plaideurs.ca

Tel:613-565-2292Fax:613-565-2087Lawyers for the Intervenor, KanataGreenspace Protection Coalition

Year	Lawyer	Rate	Hours	Total	Partial (60%)	Substantial (90%)
2019	Alyssa Tomkins	\$380.00	1.6	\$608.00	\$364.80	\$547.20
2020	Alyssa Tomkins	\$400.00	147.4	\$58,960.00	\$35,376.00	\$53,064.00
	James Plotkin	\$225.00	2.5	\$562.50	\$337.50	\$506.25
	Charles Daoust	\$200.00	88.8	\$17,760.00	\$10,656.00	\$15,984.00
	Law Student	\$125.00	8.6	\$1,075.00	\$645.00	\$967.50
	Law Clerk (Jr.)	\$125.00	0.1	\$12.50	\$7.50	\$11.25
	Law Clerk (Sr.)	\$150.00	2.1	\$315.00	\$189.00	\$283.50
Grand Total			251.1	\$79,293.00	\$47,575.80	\$71,363.70
HST ON FEES				\$10,308.09	\$6,184.86	\$9,277.28
TOTAL WIT	TOTAL WITH HST				\$53,760.66	\$80,640.99

LEGAL FEES (December 20, 2019 – July 15, 2020)

# **DISBURSEMENTS**

Year	Туре	Total
	Photocopying and Binding (Imageon Ottawa)	\$695.74
	<b>Transportation (Inclusive of HST)</b>	\$4.64
2020	Taxes on Disbursements	\$90.45
Total		\$790.83

# STATEMENT OF EXPERIENCE

NAME	YEAR OF CALL	RATE
Alyssa Tomkins	2007	\$380/hour (2019) \$400/hour (2020)
James Plotkin	2016	\$225/hour (2020)
Charles Daoust	2018	\$200/hour (2019/2020)
Law Student	N/A	\$125/hour
Law Clerk (Jr.) Law Clerk (Sr.)	N/A	\$125/hour \$150/hour

## CLUBLINK CORPORATION ULC Respondent

Court File No.: 19-81809

# ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

## PROCEEDING COMMENCED AT OTTAWA

## **BILL OF COSTS OF THE INTERVENOR**

CAZA SAIKALEY S.R.L./LLP Lawyers | Avocats 350-220 Laurier West Ottawa ON K1P 5Z9

Alyssa Tomkins (LSO# 54675D) Charles R. Daoust (LSO# 74259H)

Tel: 613-565-2292 Fax: 613-565-2087 <u>ATomkins@plaideurs.ca</u> <u>CDaoust@plaideurs.ca</u>

Lawyer for the Proposed Intervenor, Kanata Greenspace Protection Coalition This is **Exhibit "C"** to the Affidavit of Hannah Stokes sworn before me this 11<sup>th</sup> day of May 2023.

Charles R. Daoust (LSO# 74259H)

#### CITATION: City of Ottawa v. ClubLink Corporation ULC, 2021 ONSC 4352 COURT FILE NO.: 19-81809 DATE: 2021/06/16

## **ONTARIO**

BETWEEN:	)
CITY OF OTTAWA Applicant – <b>and</b> –	<ul> <li>Kirsten Crain, Emma Blanchard, and Neil</li> <li>Abraham, for the Applicant</li> </ul>
CLUBLINK CORPORATION ULC Respondent	Matthew P. Gottlieb, James Renihan, and Mark R. Flowers, for the Respondent
– and –	)
KANATA GREENSPACE PROTECTION COALITION Intervener	<ul> <li>Alyssa Tomkins, and Charles R. Daoust, for</li> <li>the Intervener</li> </ul>
	) <b>HEARD:</b> in writing

#### SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

## COSTS ENDORSEMENT

## LABROSSE J.

#### Background

[1] The Court has just become aware that the appeal of this matter is proceeding this week, on an expedited basis. There is an outstanding matter, being the claim for costs by ClubLink Corporation ULC ("ClubLink") against the Intervenor, the Kanata Greenspace Protection Coalition ("Coalition"). Those written submissions were recently received by the Court. A brief ruling on this issue may be helpful to the panel of the Court of Appeal for Ontario hearing this appeal.

- [2] Clublink has claimed costs against the Coalition on the following basis:
  - a. The issue of costs was left open by Justice MacLeod leaving it for the Application Judge to "determine if the participation by the Coalition drove up the costs of either of the parties and how to respond if that proves to be the case": see *City of Ottawa v. ClubLink Corporation ULC*, 2019 ONSC 7470, at para. 29.
  - b. That the Coalition's arguments were rejected in its claims as being the beneficiary of two different restrictive covenants and that they were found to be superfluous to the issues as determined.
  - c. That the Coalition's position was irrelevant.
  - d. That the Coalition's participation increased ClubLink's costs.
  - e. That the sum of \$50,000.00 is reasonable in the circumstances.
- [3] The Coalition responds by stating:
  - a. The general rule is that an intervenor is neither liable for, nor entitled to costs and that this applies both in the public and private interest context.
  - b. The Intervenor had a real interest in the outcome of the proceeding
  - c. That Intervenor's arguments were only rendered superfluous given the City's success in upholding the validity of the subject agreements;
  - d. That the Intervenor was successful in opposing arguments on fettering and vires which impacted the agreements that the Coalition was relying upon in its claim for restrictive covenants.
  - e. That the motion to admit fresh evidence required brief written submissions for which the time spent by Clublink was minimal.
  - f. That the quantum of ClubLink's costs is excessive.

# Analysis

- [4] I have considered the following principles in my decision to award costs:
  - The Courts of Justice Act, R.S.O. 1990, C. C.43 ("CJA") provides:

131(1) Subject to the provisions of an Act or rules of court, the costs of and incidental to a proceeding or a step in a proceeding are in the discretion of the court, and the court may determine by whom and to what extent the costs shall be paid.

[5] The Court of Appeal in *Boucher v. Public Accountants Council for the Province of Ontario*, 2004 CanLII 14579, articulated the principles that govern costs assessments. Armstrong J.A. stated: "When the court awards costs, it shall fix them in accordance with sub-rule 57.01(1) and the Tariffs...Subrule (1) lists a broad range of factors that the court may consider in exercising its discretion to award costs under s. 131 of the *CJA*." Further, the Court of Appeal in *Boucher* stated that the assessment of costs is not a mechanical issue. The overall objective is to fix an amount that is fair and reasonable for the unsuccessful party to pay in the particular circumstances of the case, rather than an amount fixed by the actual costs incurred by the successful litigant: (see *Boucher*, at para. 26).

[6] In exercising my discretion with respect to the costs of this proceeding, I have considered the factors set out in Rule 57.01 of the *Rules of Civil Procedure*, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 194 and make the following findings to those factors which are the most relevant in this matter:

1. *Result:* I am of the view that the result is divided. The Coalition's arguments were rendered superfluous but only as a result of ClubLink's failure to obtain a declaration of unenforceability of the subject agreements. Otherwise, the Coalition's arguments on the restrictive covenant would have been fully dealt with by the Court although the Court had concerns on the evidentiary record.

Also, I am of the view that the Coalition shares in the City's success on the issues of fettering and *vires*.

With respect to the motion to file additional evidence, there is no doubt that the Coalition was not successful. However, I do not fault them for bringing that motion. The evidence surrounding the Concept Plan was effectively a gap in the evidence as it was not part of the record and the Coalition's attempt to identify that missing document was well intentioned. ClubLink's costs associated with that request were minimal.

2. Offers to Settle: Neither party directed me to any offers to settle.

- 3. *Costs of the Unsuccessful Party and Reasonable Expectations*: As my decision is based on Clublink's entitlement to costs, the issue of quantum is not relevant.
- 4. *Importance of the Issues*: The issues were obviously important to the parties and particularly to the members of the Coalition who stood at risk of seeing the area surrounding their residences change significantly.
- 5. *Complexity*: This was complex litigation. There were substantial materials which included lengthy affidavits, cross-examinations and detailed facta. The law on the rule against perpetuities, the municipal issues raised and questions surrounding restrictive covenants are not commonly applied and added much complexity.
- 6. *Conduct*: The parties conducted themselves properly in this hard-fought litigation. I specifically disagree with ClubLink that the Coalition's position was irrelevant.

[7] When considering the previous endorsement of Justice MacLeod, I do not interpret his words as stating that if the Coalition's participation increased ClubLink's costs that ClubLink could seek to recover them. It is implicit in the fact that the Coalition was given intervenor status that there would be additional costs. It is clear in Justice MacLeod's endorsement that the Coalition was seeking address issues surround the restrictive covenants and that the Coalition would add to each party's costs. Notwithstanding, that intervention was deemed appropriate. Where Justice MacLeod speaks to driving up the costs of the other parties, that this would be a reference to increasing the costs beyond that which would be normally expected.

[8] The fact that Justice MacLeod refused to grant what he called a "prophylactic costs award" to insulate the Coalition from a costs award was simply an acknowledgement that the Coalition's participation was based on that which was expected. As such, the reasonability of the Coalition's conduct would remain at issue.

[9] This leads me to comment on the conduct of the Coalition. Having given full consideration to ClubLink's arguments, I conclude that there is nothing in the manner in which the Coalition conducted itself in this litigation was improper, vexatious or unnecessary. I conclude that their participation fell exactly within the expectations of what the parties would have intended when the Coalition was granted intervenor status.

#### Page: 5

[10] This leads me to acknowledge that ordinarily, intervenors are neither awarded costs nor have costs awarded to them: *Daly v. Ontario Secondary School Teachers' Federation*, 1999 CanLII 7319, at para. 6 (Ont. C.A.). There was nothing in the manner in which the Coalition participated in this application that would take us out of the ordinary approach to costs against an Intervenor.

[11] In this case the Intervenor's participation was focussed, would have been more relevant had the outcome been different and it did not add to the cost of the litigation beyond that what would normally have been expected.

[12] ClubLink's request for a cost award against the Coalition is denied.

[13] As for the cost of these costs submissions, the appropriate result is for both parties to assume their own costs.

Calum J.

Justice Marc R. Labrosse

Released: June 16, 2021

CITATION: City of Ottawa v. ClubLink Corporation ULC, 2021 ONSC 4352 COURT FILE NO.: 19-81809 DATE: 2021/06/16

## **ONTARIO**

### SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

#### **BETWEEN:**

## CITY OF OTTAWA

Applicant

#### - and -

## CLUBLINK CORPORATION ULC

Respondent

– and –

KANATA GREENSPACE PROTECTION COALITION

Intervener

## COSTS ENDORSEMENT

Labrosse J.

Released: June 16, 2021

This is **Exhibit "D"** to the Affidavit of Hannah Stokes sworn before me this 11<sup>th</sup> day of May 2023.

Charles R. Daoust (LSO# 74259H)

PARCEL REGISTER (ABBREVIATED) FOR PROPERTY IDENTIFIER						
	Ontario	ServiceOnta	OFFICE #4	04512-0435 (LT) N ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAND TITLES ACT * SUBJ	PAGE 1 OF 2 PREPARED FOR Ashley01 ON 2023/05/10 AT 10:02:38 ECT TO RESERVATIONS IN CROWN GRANT *	027
PROPERTY DE	SCRIPTION:	PCL 117-13, SEC 4M-65	51 ; PT BLK 117, PL 4M-651	, PART 7 , 4R6670 , S/T LT571282 ; S/T LT60	05240,LT605824,LT608825,LT687538 KANATA	
PROPERTY RE	MARKS:					
<u>ESTATE/QUAL</u> FEE SIMPLE ABSOLUTE	IFIER:		<u>RECENTLY:</u> FIRST CONVERSION FROM	M BOOK OM523	<u>PIN CREATION DATE:</u> 1995/03/20	
<u>OWNERS' NAM</u> SHEPPARD, D RAMSAY, BAR	AVID MICHAEL		<u>CAPACITY</u> <u>SHARE</u> JTEN JTEN			
REG. NUM.	DATE	INSTRUMENT TYPE	AMOUNT	PARTIES FROM	PARTIES TO	CERT/ CHKD
**EFFECTIV	2000/07/29	THE NOTATION OF THE "BL	OCK IMPLEMENTATION DATE"	OF 1995/03/20 ON THIS PIN**		
**WAS REPL	ACED WITH THE	"PIN CREATION DATE" OF	1995/03/20**			
** PRINTOU	I INCLUDES AL.	L DOCUMENT TYPES (DELET.	ed instruments not includ	ED) **		
NS140350 RE	1982/01/08 MARKS: MULTI	AGREEMENT			THE CORFORATION OF THE CITY OF KANATA	с
LT437650	1985/12/16	NOTICE AGREEMENT			THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF KANATA	с
LT437651	1985/12/16	NOTICE AGREEMENT			THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF OTTAWA-CARLETON	С
LT449372	1986/03/20	NOTICE AGREEMENT			THE KANATA HYDRO-ELECTRIC COMMISSION	с
LT559122	1988/05/17	NOTICE AGREEMENT			THE KANATA HYDRO-ELECTRIC COMMISSION	С
LT568244	1988/07/08	NOTICE AGREEMENT			THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF KANATA	С
LT568245	1988/07/08	NOTICE AGREEMENT			THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF OTTAWA-CARLETON	С
LT583921	1988/10/06	NOTICE			THE KANATA HYDRO-ELECTRIC COMMISSION	С
LT588481	1988/11/03	NOTICE			THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF KANATA	С
LT588891	1988/11/07	BYLAW				С
4R6587	1988/12/19	PLAN REFERENCE				С
4R6670	1989/02/10	PLAN REFERENCE				С
LT605240	1989/03/09	TRANSFER EASEMENT			OTTAWA CABLEVISION LIMITED	С
LT605824	1989/03/15	TRANSFER EASEMENT			KANATA HYDRO-ELECTRIC COMMISSION	С

NOTE: ADJOINING PROPERTIES SHOULD BE INVESTIGATED TO ASCERTAIN DESCRIPTIVE INCONSISTENCIES, IF ANY, WITH DESCRIPTION REPRESENTED FOR THIS PROPERTY. NOTE: ENSURE THAT YOUR PRINTOUT STATES THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES AND THAT YOU HAVE PICKED THEM ALL UP. PARCEL REGISTER (ABBREVIATED) FOR PROPERTY IDENTIFIER

PAGE 2 OF 2

PREPARED FOR Ashley01

OFFICE #4

LAND

REGISTRY

04512-0435 (LT)

ON 2023/05/10 AT 10:02:38

\* CERTIFIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAND TITLES ACT \* SUBJECT TO RESERVATIONS IN CROWN GRANT \*

REG. NUM.	DATE	INSTRUMENT TYPE	AMOUNT	PARTIES FROM	PARTIES TO	CERT/ CHKD
LT608825	1989/04/05	TRANSFER EASEMENT			BELL CANADA	С
LT611214	1989/04/26	NOTICE				С
4R7311	1990/04/30	PLAN REFERENCE				С
LT687538 <i>REI</i>	1990/08/14 MARKS: RIGHT-	TRANSFER EASEMENT OF-WAY			THE CONSUMERS' GAS COMPANY LTD.	С
OC1150846	2010/08/20	TRANSFER	\$430,000 BEREN		SHEPPARD, DAVID MICHAEL RAMSAY, BARBARA ANN	С
REI	MARKS: PLANNI	NG ACT STATEMENTS				



#### 029

#### KANATA GREENSPACE PROTECTION COALITION et al.

Applicants/Responding Parties

-and-

CLUBLINK CORPORATION ULC Respondent/Moving Party

Court file number: CV-22-88630

#### ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

PROCEEDING COMMENCED AT OTTAWA

#### **AFFIDAVIT OF HANNAH STOKES**

**GowLing WLG (CANADA) LLP** 2600-160 Elgin Street Ottawa, Ontario K1P 1C3

Alyssa Tomkins (LSO# 54675D) Alyssa.tomkins@gowlingwlg.com T: 613-786-0078

DAVID | SAUVÉ S.R.L./LLP 300-116 Lisgar Street Ottawa, Ontario K2P 1C7

Charles R. Daoust (LSO# 74259H) Charles@davidsauve.ca T :343-655-0034

Lawyers for the applicants/responding parties, KGPC and Barbara Ramsay

#### KANATA GREENSPACE PROTECTION COALITION et al.

Applicants/Responding Parties

-and-

CLUBLINK CORPORATION ULC Respondent/Moving Party

Court file number: CV-22-88630

#### ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

PROCEEDING COMMENCED AT OTTAWA

## MOTION RECORD OF THE RESPONDING PARTIES, KANATA GREENSPACE PROTECTION COALITION and BARBARA RAMSAY

**GowLING WLG (CANADA) LLP** 2600-160 Elgin Street Ottawa, Ontario K1P 1C3

Alyssa Tomkins (LSO# 54675D) Alyssa.tomkins@gowlingwlg.com T: 613-786-0078

DAVID | SAUVÉ S.R.L./LLP 300-116 Lisgar Street Ottawa, Ontario K2P 0C2

Charles R. Daoust (LSO# 74259H) Charles@davidsauve.ca

T :343-655-0034

Lawyers for the applicants/responding parties, KGPC and Barbara Ramsay